PERIODIC SOLUTIONS OF A TWO-SPECIES RATIO-DEPENDENT PREDATOR-PREY SYSTEM WITH TIME DELAY IN A TWO-PATCH ENVIRONMENT

ZHENGQIU ZHANG1 and ZHICHENG WANG1

(Received 14 September, 2001; revised 7 January, 2002)

Abstract

By using the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, a sufficient condition is obtained for the existence of a positive periodic solution of a predator-prey diffusion system.

1. Introduction

Xu and Chen [4] considered a two-species ratio-dependent predator-prey diffusion model with time delay given by

$$x'_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \left(a_{1} - a_{11}x_{1}(t) - \frac{a_{13}x_{3}(t)}{mx_{3}(t) + x_{1}(t)} \right) + D_{1}(x_{2}(t) - x_{1}(t)),$$

$$x'_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t)(a_{2} - a_{22}x_{2}(t)) + D_{2}(x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t)),$$

$$x'_{3}(t) = x_{3}(t) \left(-a_{3} + \frac{a_{31}x_{1}(t - \tau)}{mx_{3}(t - \tau) + x_{1}(t - \tau)} \right),$$

$$(1.1)$$

where $x_i(t)$ represents the prey population in the i^{th} patch, i=1,2, and $x_3(t)$ represents the predator population. Here $\tau>0$ is a constant delay due to gestation, D_i is a positive constant denoting the dispersal rate, i=1,2, and a_i (i=1,2,3), a_{11} , a_{13} , a_{22} , a_{31} and m are positive constants.

In Xu and Chen [4], the local and global asymptotical stability of the positive equilibrium of the system (1.1) were studied. For an ecological interpretation of system (1.1), we refer to [4] and references cited therein.

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan 410082, P. R. China; e-mail: zcwang@hnu.net.cn.

[©] Australian Mathematical Society 2003, Serial-fee code 1446-8735/03

Realistic models require the inclusion of the effect of change in the environment. This motivates us to consider the following two species predator-prey diffusion model with time delay:

$$x'_{1}(t) = x_{1}(t) \left(a_{1}(t) - a_{11}(t)x_{1}(t) - \frac{a_{13}(t)x_{3}(t)}{m(t)x_{3}(t) + x_{1}(t)} \right) + D_{1}(t)(x_{2}(t) - x_{1}(t)),$$

$$x'_{2}(t) = x_{2}(t)(a_{2}(t) - a_{22}(t)x_{2}(t)) + D_{2}(t)(x_{1}(t) - x_{2}(t)),$$

$$x'_{3}(t) = x_{3}(t) \left(-a_{3}(t) + \frac{a_{31}(t)x_{1}(t - \tau)}{m(t)x_{3}(t - \tau) + x_{1}(t - \tau)} \right).$$

$$(1.2)$$

In addition, the effects of a periodically changing environment are important for evolutionary theory as the selective forces on systems in a fluctuating environment differ from those in a stable environment. Therefore the assumptions of periodicity of the parameters are a way of incorporating the periodicity of the environment (for example, seasonal changes, food supplies, mating habits, and so on), which leads us to assume that D_i (i = 1, 2), a_i (i = 1, 2, 3), a_{11} , a_{13} , a_{22} , a_{31} and m are strictly positive continuous w-periodic functions.

As pointed out by Freedman and Wu [1] and Kuang [3], it is of interest to study the global existence of periodic solutions for systems representing predator-prey or competition systems. In this paper, our aim is to use the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory which was proposed in [2] by Gaines and Mawhin to establish the existence of at least one positive w-periodic solution with w > 0 of system (1.2).

Let X, Z be real Banach spaces, $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset X \to Z$ a Fredholm mapping of index zero and $P: X \to X$, $Q: Z \to Z$ continuous projectors such that $\operatorname{Im} P = \operatorname{Ker} L$, $\operatorname{Ker} Q = \operatorname{Im} L$, $X = \operatorname{Ker} L \oplus \operatorname{Ker} P$ and $Z = \operatorname{Im} L \oplus \operatorname{Im} Q$. Denote by $K_p: \operatorname{Im} L \to \operatorname{Ker} P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ the generalised inverse (of L) and by $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \to \operatorname{Ker} L$ an isomorphism of $\operatorname{Im} Q$ onto $\operatorname{Ker} L$.

For convenience we introduce a continuation theorem [2, page 40] as follows.

LEMMA 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and $N: X \to Z$ be a continuous operator which is L-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ (that is, $QN: \overline{\Omega} \to Z$ and $K_p(I-Q)N: \overline{\Omega} \to Y$ are compact). Assume

- (a) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{dom } L$, $Lx \neq \lambda Nx$;
- (b) for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, $QNx \neq 0$;
- (c) $deg\{JQNx, \Omega \cap Ker L, 0\} \neq 0$.

Then Lx = Nx has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega}$.

2. Main result

For the sake of convenience we will use the notation

$$\overline{f} = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w f(t) dt$$
, $f^l = \min_{t \in [0, w]} f(t)$ and $f^M = \max_{t \in [0, w]} f(t)$,

where f is a strictly positive continuous w-periodic function.

We now state our fundamental theorem about the existence of a positive w-periodic solution of system (1.2).

THEOREM 2.1. Assume the following:

- (i) $(a_1 D_1)^l > a_{13}^M/m^l$,
- (ii) $a_{31}^l > \overline{a_{3}}$,
- (iii) $(a_2 D_2)^l > 0$.

Then system (1.2) has at least one positive w-periodic solution.

PROOF. Let

$$F_1(t,s) = \frac{a_{13}(t)e^{y_3(s)}}{m(t)e^{y_3(s)} + e^{y_1(s)}} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(t,s) = \frac{a_{31}(t)e^{y_1(s-\tau)}}{m(t)e^{y_3(s-\tau)} + e^{y_1(s-\tau)}}.$$

Consider the system

$$y'_{1}(t) = a_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t) - a_{11}(t)e^{y_{1}(t)} - F_{1}(t,t) + D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t) - y_{1}(t)},$$

$$y'_{2}(t) = a_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t) - a_{22}(t)e^{y_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t)e^{y_{1}(t) - y_{2}(t)},$$

$$y'_{3}(t) = -a_{3}(t) + F_{2}(t,t),$$

$$(2.1)$$

where τ , D_i (i=1,2), a_i (i=1,2,3), a_{11} , a_{13} , a_{22} , a_{31} and m are the same as those in system (1.2). It is easy to see that if the system (2.1) has an w-periodic solution $(y_1^*(t), y_2^*(t), y_3^*(t))^T$, then $(e^{y_1^*(t)}e^{y_2^*(t)}e^{y_3^*(t)})^T$ is a positive w-periodic solution of system (1.2). Therefore for (1.2) to have at least one positive w-periodic solution it is sufficient that (2.1) has at least one w-periodic solution. In order to apply Lemma 1.1 to system (2.1), we take

$$X = \{(y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))^T \in C^1(R, R^3) : y_i(t+w) = y_i(t), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\},\$$

$$Z = \{(z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t))^T \in C(R, R^3) : z_i(t+w) = z_i(t), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$\|(y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))^T\| = \max_{t \in [0, w]} |y_1(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, w]} |y_2(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, w]} |y_3(t)|.$$

With this norm, X and Z are Banach spaces. Let

$$\begin{split} N \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a_1(t) - D_1(t) - a_{11}(t)e^{y_1(t)} - F_1(t,t) + D_1(t)e^{y_2(t) - y_1(t)} \\ a_2(t) - D_2(t) - a_{22}(t)e^{y_2(t)} + D_2(t)e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} \\ -a_3(t) + F_2(t,t) \end{bmatrix}, \\ L \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} y_1' \\ y_2' \\ y_3' \end{bmatrix}, \quad P \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (1/w) \int_0^w y_1(t) \, dt \\ (1/w) \int_0^w y_2(t) \, dt, \\ (1/w) \int_0^w y_3(t) \, dt \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in X, \\ Q \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} (1/w) \int_0^w z_1(t) \, dt \\ (1/w) \int_0^w z_2(t) \, dt \\ (1/w) \int_0^w z_3(t) \, dt \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in Z. \end{split}$$

We note that $\operatorname{Ker} L = R^3$,

$$\operatorname{Im} L = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \middle| \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in Z, \int_0^w z_i(t) \, dt = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3 \right\}$$

is closed in Z and dim $\operatorname{Ker} L = \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L = 3$. Hence L is a Fredholm mapping of index 0. Furthermore, the generalised inverse (of L) K_p : $\operatorname{Im} L \to \operatorname{Ker} P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ has the form

$$K_p(z) = \int_0^t z(s) \, ds - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t z(s) \, ds \, dt, \quad \text{for} \quad z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in Z.$$

Thus $QN: X \to Z$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \left[a_1(t) - D_1(t) - a_{11}(t) e^{y_1(t)} - F_1(t,t) + D_1(t) e^{y_2(t) - y_1(t)} \right] dt \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \left[a_2(t) - D_2(t) - a_{22}(t) e^{y_2(t)} + D_2(t) e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} \right] dt \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \left[-a_3(t) + F_2(t,t) \right] dt \end{bmatrix},$$

 $K_p(I-Q)N: X \to X$ and

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^t \left[a_1(s) - D_1(s) - a_{11}(s) e^{y_1(s)} - F_1(s,s) + D_1(s) e^{y_2(s) - y_1(s)} \right] ds \\ \int_0^t \left[a_2(s) - D_2(s) - a_{22}(s) e^{y_2(s)} + D_2(s) e^{y_1(s) - y_2(s)} \right] ds \\ \int_0^t \left[-a_3(s) + F_2(s,s) \right] ds \end{bmatrix}$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t \left[a_1(s) - D_1(s) - a_{11}(s) e^{y_1(s)} - F_1(s,s) + D_1(s) e^{y_2(s) - y_1(s)} \right] ds dt \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t \left[a_2(s) - D_2(s) - a_{22}(s) e^{y_2(s)} + D_2(s) e^{y_1(s) - y_2(s)} \right] ds dt \\ \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \int_0^t \left[-a_3(s) + F_2(s,s) \right] ds dt \end{bmatrix}$$

$$- \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{t}{w} \right) \begin{bmatrix} \int_0^w \left[a_1(t) - D_1(t) - a_{11}(t) e^{y_1(t)} - F_1(t,t) + D_1(t) e^{y_2(t) - y_1(t)} \right] dt \\ \int_0^w \left[a_2(t) - D_2(t) - a_{22}(t) e^{y_2} + D_2(t) e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} \right] dt \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly QN and $K_p(I-Q)N$ are continuous by the Lebesgue theorem and moreover $QN(\overline{\Omega})$ and $K_p(I-Q)N(\overline{\Omega})$ are relatively compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Hence N is L-compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$.

Corresponding to the operator equation $Lx = \lambda Nx$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$y_{1}'(t) = \lambda \left[a_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t) - a_{11}(t)e^{y_{1}(t)} - F_{1}(t,t) + D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t) - y_{1}(t)} \right],$$

$$y_{2}'(t) = \lambda \left[a_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t) - a_{22}(t)e^{y_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t)e^{y_{1}(t) - y_{2}(t)} \right],$$

$$y_{3}'(t) = \lambda \left[-a_{3}(t) + F_{2}(t,t) \right].$$

$$(2.2)$$

Suppose that $(y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))^T \in X$ is a solution of system (2.2) for a certain $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. By integrating (2.2) over the interval [0, w], we obtain

$$\int_0^w \left[a_1(t) - D_1(t) - a_{11}(t)e^{y_1(t)} - F_1(t,t) + D_1(t)e^{y_2(t) - y_1(t)} \right] dt = 0,$$

$$\int_0^w \left[a_2(t) - D_2(t) - a_{22}(t)e^{y_2(t)} + D_2(t)e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} \right] dt = 0$$

and

$$\int_0^w [-a_3(t) + F_2(t,t)] dt = 0.$$

Thus

$$\int_0^w \left[a_{11}(t)e^{y_1(t)} + F_1(t,t) \right] dt = \overline{(a_1 - D_1)}w + \int_0^w D_1(t)e^{y_2(t) - y_1(t)} dt, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\int_0^w a_{22}(t)e^{y_2(t)} dt = \overline{(a_2 - D_2)}w + \int_0^w D_2(t)e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} dt$$
 (2.4)

and

$$\int_0^w F_2(t,t) dt = \overline{a_3}w. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2)–(2.5), it follows that

$$\int_{0}^{w} |y_{1}'(t)| dt \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{w} |a_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t) - a_{11}(t)e^{y_{1}(t)} - F_{1}(t, t) + D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t) - y_{1}(t)}| dt
< \overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})}w + \int_{0}^{w} [a_{11}(t)e^{y_{1}(t)} + F_{1}(t, t)] dt
+ \int_{0}^{w} D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t) - y_{1}(t)} dt
= 2\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})}w + \int_{0}^{w} D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t) - y_{1}(t)} dt,$$
(2.6)
$$\int_{0}^{w} |y_{2}'(t)| dt \leq \lambda \int_{0}^{w} |a_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t) - a_{22}(t)e^{y_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t)e^{y_{1}(t) - y_{2}(t)}| dt$$

$$< \overline{(a_2 - D_2)}w + \int_0^w a_{22}(t)e^{y_2(t)} dt + \int_0^w D_2(t)e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} dt$$

$$= 2\overline{(a_2 - D_2)}w + 2\int_0^w D_2(t)e^{y_1(t) - y_2(t)} dt$$
(2.7)

and

$$\int_{0}^{w} |y_{3}'(t)| dt \le \lambda \int_{0}^{w} |-a_{3}(t) + F_{2}(t, t)| dt < \overline{a_{3}}w + \int_{0}^{w} F_{2}(t, t) dt = 2\overline{a_{3}}w.$$
 (2.8)

Multiplying the first equation and the second equation of system (2.2) by $e^{y_1(t)}$ and $e^{y_2(t)}$, respectively, and integrating both over [0, w], we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{1}(t)} y_{1}'(t) dt = \int_{0}^{w} \left[(a_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t)) e^{y_{1}(t)} - a_{11}(t) e^{2y_{1}(t)} - F_{1}(t, t) e^{y_{1}(t)} + D_{1}(t) e^{y_{2}(t)} \right] dt$$
and
$$\int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{2}(t)} y_{2}'(t) dt = \int_{0}^{w} \left[(a_{2}(t) - D_{2}(t)) e^{y_{2}(t)} - a_{22}(t) e^{2y_{2}(t)} + D_{2}(t) e^{y_{1}(t)} \right] dt.$$

That is,

$$\int_{0}^{w} a_{11}(t)e^{2y_{1}(t)} dt + \int_{0}^{w} F_{1}(t,t)e^{y_{1}(t)} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{w} (a_{1}(t) - D_{1}(t))e^{y_{1}(t)} dt + \int_{0}^{w} D_{1}(t)e^{y_{2}(t)} dt$$
(2.9)

and

$$\int_0^w a_{22}(t)e^{2y_2(t)} dt = \int_0^w (a_2(t) - D_2(t))e^{y_2(t)} dt + \int_0^w D_2(t)e^{y_1(t)} dt.$$
 (2.10)

Equation (2.9) implies that

$$a_{11}^l \int_0^w e^{2y_1(t)} dt < (a_1 - D_1)^M \int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt + D_1^M \int_0^w e^{y_2(t)} dt,$$

from which, using the inequality $\left(\int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt\right)^2 \le w \int_0^w e^{2y_1(t)} dt$, we obtain

$$\frac{a_{11}^l}{w} \left(\int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt \right)^2 < (a_1 - D_1)^M \int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt + D_1^M \int_0^w e^{y_2(t)} dt.$$

Thus

$$2\frac{a_{11}^l}{w}\int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt < \left[(a_1 - D_1)^M + \left[(a_1 - D_1)^M \right]^2 + 4\frac{a_{11}^l D_1^M}{w} \int_0^w e^{y_2(t)} dt \right]^{1/2},$$

from which, using the inequality

$$(a+b)^{1/2} < a^{1/2} + b^{1/2}$$
, for $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, (2.11)

it follows that

$$\frac{a_{11}^l}{w} \int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt < (a_1 - D_1)^M + \sqrt{\frac{a_{11}^l D_1^M}{w}} \left(\int_0^w e^{y_2(t)} dt \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.12}$$

A similar argument to (2.12) implies from (2.10) that

$$\frac{a_{22}^l}{w} \int_0^w e^{y_2(t)} dt < (a_2 - D_2)^M + \sqrt{\frac{a_{22}^l D_2^M}{w}} \left(\int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt \right)^{1/2}. \tag{2.13}$$

Substituting (2.13) into (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{a_{11}^{l}}{w} \int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{1}(t)} dt &< (a_{1} - D_{1})^{M} \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{a_{11}^{l} D_{1}^{M}}{w}} \left[\frac{(a_{2} - D_{2})^{M} w}{a_{22}^{l}} + \sqrt{\frac{a_{22}^{l} D_{2}^{M}}{w}} \frac{w}{a_{22}^{l}} \left(\int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{1}(t)} dt \right)^{1/2} \right]^{1/2}, \end{split}$$

from which, using (2.11), it follows that

$$\frac{a_{11}^{l}}{w} \int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{1}(t)} dt < (a_{1} - D_{1})^{M} + \sqrt{\frac{a_{11}^{l} D_{1}^{M}}{a_{22}^{l}}} \left[\left[(a_{2} - D_{2})^{M} \right]^{1/2} + \sqrt{\frac{a_{22}^{l} D_{2}^{M}}{w}} \left(\int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{1}(t)} dt \right)^{1/4} \right].$$

Therefore there exists a positive constant ρ_1 such that

$$\int_0^w e^{y_1(t)} dt < \rho_1. (2.14)$$

Substituting (2.14) into (2.13) implies that there exists a positive constant ρ_2 such that

$$\int_{0}^{w} e^{y_{2}(t)} dt < \rho_{2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Choose $t_i \in [0, w]$, i = 1, 2, such that $y_i(t_i) = \min_{t \in [0, w]} y_i(t)$, i = 1, 2. Then it is clear that $y_i'(t_i) = 0$, i = 1, 2. In view of this and system (2.2), we obtain

$$a_1(t_1) - D_1(t_1) - a_{11}(t_1)e^{y_1(t_1)} - F_1(t_1, t_1) + D_1(t_1)e^{y_2(t_1) - y_1(t_1)} = 0$$
 (2.16)

and

$$a_2(t_2) - D_2(t_2) - a_{22}(t_2)e^{y_2(t_2)} + D_2(t_2)e^{y_1(t_2) - y_2(t_2)} = 0.$$
 (2.17)

Thus

$$a_{11}^{M}e^{y_{1}(t_{1})} > a_{11}(t_{1})e^{y_{1}(t_{1})} = a_{1}(t_{1}) - D_{1}(t_{1}) - F_{1}(t_{1}, t_{1}) + D_{1}(t_{1})e^{y_{2}(t_{1}) - y_{1}(t_{1})}$$

$$> (a_{1} - D_{1})^{l} - a_{13}^{M}/m^{l}$$

and

$$a_{22}^{M}e^{y_{2}(t_{2})} > a_{22}(t_{2})e^{y_{2}(t_{2})} = a_{2}(t_{2}) - D_{2}(t_{2}) + D_{2}(t_{2})e^{y_{1}(t_{2}) - y_{2}(t_{2})} > (a_{2} - D_{2})^{l}.$$
 (2.18)

Therefore

$$y_1(t_1) > \ln \frac{(a_1 - D_1)^l - a_{13}^M/m^l}{a_{11}^M}, \quad y_2(t_2) > \ln \frac{(a_2 - D_2)^l}{a_{22}^M}.$$
 (2.19)

Substituting (2.14), (2.15) and (2.19) into (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{w} |y_{1}'(t)| dt < 2\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})}w + \frac{2D_{1}^{M}\rho_{2}a_{11}^{M}}{(a_{1} - D_{1})^{l} - a_{12}^{M}/m^{l}} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} d_{1}$$
 (2.20)

and

$$\int_0^w |y_2'(t)| \, dt < 2\overline{(a_2 - D_2)}w + \frac{2D_2^M \rho_1 a_{22}^M}{(a_2 - D_2)^l} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} d_2. \tag{2.21}$$

Equations (2.14) and (2.15) imply that there exist two points $\xi, \eta \in (0, w)$ such that

$$y_1(\xi) < \ln(\rho_1/w), \quad y_2(\eta) < \ln(\rho_2/w).$$
 (2.22)

In view of this and (2.19), we have

$$|y_1(\xi)| < \max\left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\rho_1}{w} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{(a_1 - D_1)^l - a_{13}^M / m^l}{a_{11}^M} \right| \right\}$$
 (2.23)

and

$$|y_2(\eta)| < \max\left\{\left|\ln\frac{\rho_2}{w}\right|, \left|\ln\frac{(a_2 - D_2)^l}{a_{22}^M}\right|\right\}.$$
 (2.24)

Since $\forall t \in R$

$$|y_1(t)| \le |y_1(\xi)| + \int_0^w |y_1'(s)| \, ds$$
 and $|y_2(t)| \le |y_2(\eta)| + \int_0^w |y_2'(s)| \, ds$,

from (2.20), (2.21) and (2.23), we obtain

$$|y_1(t)| < \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\rho_1}{w} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{(a_1 - D_1)^l - a_{13}^M / m^l}{a_{11}^M} \right| \right\} + d_1 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} R_1$$

and

$$|y_2(t)| < \max\left\{\left|\ln\frac{\rho_2}{w}\right|, \left|\ln\frac{(a_2-D_2)^l}{a_{22}^M}\right|\right\} + d_2 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} R_2.$$

Equation (2.5) implies that there exists a point $t_3^* \in (0, w)$ such that

$$F_2(t_3^* + \tau, t_3^* + \tau) = \overline{a_3}.$$

That is, $\overline{a_3}m(t_3^* + \tau)e^{y_3(t_3^*)} = (a_{31}(t_3^* + \tau) - \overline{a_3})e^{y_1(t_3^*)}$. Hence

$$|y_3(t_3^*)| = \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}(t_3^* + \tau) - \overline{a_3}}{m(t_3^* + \tau)\overline{a_3}} \right| + |y_1(t_3^*)| < \max_{t \in [0, w]} \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}(t) - \overline{a_3}}{m(t)\overline{a_3}} \right| + R_1. \quad (2.25)$$

Since $\forall t \in R, |y_3(t)| \le |y_3(t_3^*)| + \int_0^w |y_3'(s)| ds$, from this and (2.8), we obtain

$$|y_3(t)| < \max_{t \in [0,w]} \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}(t) - \overline{a_3}}{m(t)\overline{a}_3} \right| + R_1 + 2a_3 w \stackrel{\triangle}{=} R_3.$$

Clearly R_i (i = 1, 2, 3) are independent of λ . Denote $M = R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + R_0$; here R_0 is taken sufficiently large such that

$$2 \max \left\{ \left| \ln \delta_{1} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})} - \overline{(a_{13}/m)}}{\overline{a_{11}}} \right| \right\} + \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}^{M} - \overline{a_{3}}}{m^{l} \overline{a_{3}}} \right| \\
+ \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}} \overline{D_{2}}} \delta_{1}}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})}}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right| \right\} < M.$$
(2.26)

Here $\sqrt[4]{\delta_1}$ is the only real root of the equation

$$\sqrt{\overline{a_{22}}} \, \overline{a_{11}} x^4 = \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}}} \, \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{11}} \, \overline{D_1} (a_2 - D_2)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{11}} \, \overline{D_1}} \sqrt[4]{\overline{a_{22}} \, \overline{D_2}} \, x.$$

We now take $\Omega = \{(y_1(t), y_2(t), y_3(t))^T \in X : \|(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T\| < M\}$. This satisfies condition (a) of Lemma 1.1. When $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^3, (y_1, y_2, y_3)^T$ is a constant vector in R^3 with $|y_1| + |y_2| + |y_3| = M$. We will prove that when $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^3$,

$$QN \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_{11}}e^{y_1} - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \frac{a_{13}(t)}{m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1}} dt e^{y_3} + \overline{D_1}e^{y_2 - y_1} \\ \overline{(a_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_{22}}e^{y_2} + \overline{D_2}e^{y_1 - y_2} \\ -\overline{a_3} + \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \frac{a_{31}(t)}{m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1}} dt e^{y_1} \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the conclusion is not true, that is, $QN(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T = (0, 0, 0)^T$ with $|y_1| + |y_2| + |y_3| = M$. Since

$$\overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_{11}}e^{y_1} - \frac{1}{w} \int_0^w \frac{a_{13}(t) dt}{m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1}} e^{y_3} + \overline{D_1}e^{y_2 - y_1} = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

we have $\overline{a_{11}}e^{2y_1} < \overline{(a_1 - D_1)}e^{y_1} + \overline{D_1}e^{y_2} < \overline{(a_1 - D_1)}e^{y_1} + \overline{D_1}e^{y_2}$. Thus

$$2\overline{a_{11}}e^{y_1} < \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} + \sqrt{\overline{(a_1 - D_1)}^2 + 4\overline{a_{11}}\overline{D_1}e^{y_2}} < 2\overline{(a_1 - D_1)} + 2\sqrt{\overline{a_{11}}\overline{D_1}}e^{y_2/2}.$$

That is,

$$\overline{a_{11}}e^{y_1} < \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{11}}\overline{D_1}}e^{y_2/2}.$$
 (2.28)

Since

$$\overline{(a_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_{22}}e^{y_2} + \overline{D_2}e^{y_1 - y_2} = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

we obtain $\overline{a_{22}}e^{2y_2} < \overline{(a_2 - D_2)}e^{y_2} + \overline{D_2}e^{y_1}$. Thus

$$\overline{a_{22}}e^{y_2} < \overline{(a_2 - D_2)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}D_2}}e^{y_1/2}.$$
 (2.30)

From (2.28) and (2.30), it follows that

$$e^{y_1} < \delta_1, \quad e^{y_2} < \frac{\overline{(a_2 - D_2)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}}\overline{D_2}\delta_1}}{\overline{a_{22}}}.$$
 (2.31)

From (2.27) and (2.29), we obtain

$$e^{y_1} > \frac{\overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{(a_{13}/m)}}{\overline{a_{11}}} \quad \text{and} \quad e^{y_2} > \frac{\overline{(a_2 - D_2)}}{\overline{a_{22}}}.$$
 (2.32)

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |y_1| &< \max \left\{ |\ln \delta_1|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{(a_{13}/m)}}{\overline{a_{11}}} \right| \right\} \quad \text{and} \\ |y_2| &< \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_2 - D_2)} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}} \overline{D_2}} \delta_1}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_2 - D_2)}}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-\overline{a_3} + (1/w) \int_0^w (a_{31}(t)/(m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1})) dt e^{y_1} = 0$, the same argument as that used for (2.25) gives

$$|y_3| \le \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}^M - \overline{a_3}}{m^l \overline{a_3}} \right| + \max \left\{ |\ln \delta_1|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{(a_{13}/m)}}{\overline{a_{11}}} \right| \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{3} |y_{i}| &\leq 2 \max \left\{ |\ln \delta_{1}|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})} - \overline{(a_{13}/m)}}{\overline{a_{11}}} \right| \right\} \\ &+ \max \left\{ \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})} + \sqrt{\overline{a_{22}} \overline{D_{2}}} \delta_{1}}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right|, \left| \ln \frac{\overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})}}{\overline{a_{22}}} \right| \right\} + \left| \ln \frac{a_{31}^{M} - \overline{a_{3}}}{m^{l} \overline{a_{3}}} \right| \\ &\leq M. \end{split}$$

which contradicts the fact that $|y_1| + |y_2| + |y_3| = M$. So when $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^3$, $QN(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \neq (0, 0, 0)^T$.

Finally we will prove that condition (c) of Lemma 1.1 is satisfied.

Define ϕ : Dom $L \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ by

$$\phi(y_1, y_2, y_3, \mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_{11}}e^{y_1} \\ \overline{(a_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_{22}}e^{y_2} \\ -\overline{a_3} + (1/w) \int_0^w \frac{a_{31}(t)}{m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1}} dt e^{y_1} \end{bmatrix} + \mu \begin{bmatrix} -(1/w) \int_0^w \frac{a_{13}(t)}{m(t)e^{y_3} + e^{y_1}} dt e^{y_3} + \overline{D_1}e^{y_2 - y_1} \\ \overline{D_2}e^{y_1 - y_2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L = \partial \Omega \cap R^3$, $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T$ is a constant vector in R^3 with $|y_1| + |y_2| + |y_3| = M$. Using a similar argument to that for $QN(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \neq 0$, when $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, we can show that when $(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T \in \partial \Omega \cap \text{Ker } L$, $\phi(y_1, y_2, y_3, \mu) \neq (0, 0, 0)^T$. As a result, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(JQN(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3})^{T}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^{T}) \\ &= \deg\left(\left(\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})} - \overline{a_{11}}e^{y_{1}}, \overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})} - \overline{a_{22}}e^{y_{2}}, \right. \\ &\left. - \overline{a_{3}} + \frac{1}{w} \int_{0}^{w} \frac{a_{31}(t)}{m(t)e^{y_{3}} + e^{y_{1}}} dt e^{y_{1}}\right)^{T}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^{T}\right) \\ &= \deg\left(\left(\overline{(a_{1} - D_{1})} - \overline{a_{11}}e^{y_{1}}, \overline{(a_{2} - D_{2})} - \overline{a_{22}}e^{y_{2}}, \right. \\ &\left. - \overline{a_{3}} + \frac{\overline{a_{31}}e^{y_{1}}}{m(t^{*})e^{y_{3}} + e^{y_{1}}}\right)^{T}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^{T}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $t^* \in [0, w]$ is a constant.

Since the system of algebraic equations

$$\begin{cases} \overline{(a_1 - D_1)} - \overline{a_{11}}x = 0, \\ \overline{(a_2 - D_2)} - \overline{a_{22}}y = 0, \\ -\overline{a_3} + \overline{a_{31}}x/(m(t^*)z + x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution (x^*, y^*, z^*) which satisfies $x^* > 0$, $y^* > 0$ and $z^* > 0$, thus

$$\deg\left(\left(\overline{(a_{1}-D_{1})}-\overline{a_{11}}e^{y_{1}},\overline{(a_{2}-D_{2})}-\overline{a_{22}}e^{y_{2}},\right.\right.$$
$$\left.-\overline{a_{3}}+\frac{\overline{a_{31}}e^{y_{1}}}{m(t^{*})e^{y_{3}}+e^{y_{1}}}\right)^{T},\Omega\cap\operatorname{Ker}L,(0,0,0)^{T}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{sign} \begin{bmatrix} -\overline{a_{11}}x^* & 0 & 0\\ 0 & -\overline{a_{22}}y^* & 0\\ \overline{a_{31}}m(t^*)z^* & 0 & -m(t^*)\overline{a_{31}}x^*\\ \overline{(m(t^*)z^* + x^*)^2} & 0 & \overline{(m(t^*)z^* + x^*)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \operatorname{sign} \left[\frac{-\overline{a_{11}}}{a_{22}}m(t^*)\overline{a_{31}}y^*(x^*)^2}{(m(t^*)z^* + x^*)^2} \right] \neq 0.$$

Consequently $\deg (JQN(y_1, y_2, y_3)^T, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, (0, 0, 0)^T) \neq 0$. This completes the proof of condition (c) of Lemma 1.1.

By now we know that Ω verifies all the requirements of Lemma 1.1 and that system (2.1) has at least one w-periodic solution. Therefore system (2.1) has at least one positive w-periodic solution. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the NNSF of China (Grant No. 19971026; 10271044).

References

- H. I. Freedman and J. Wu, "Periodic solutions of single-species models with periodic delay", SIAM J. Math. Anal. 23 (1992) 689–701.
- [2] R. E. Gaines and J. L. Mawhin, Coincidence degree and non-linear differential equations (Springer, Berlin, 1977).
- [3] Y. Kuang, *Delay differential equations with applications in population dynamics* (Academic Press, New York, 1993).
- [4] R. Xu and L. S. Chen, "Persistence and stability for two-species ration-dependent predator-prey system with time delay in a two-patch environment", Comput. Math. Applic. 40 (2000) 577–588.