# **EXPLICIT BOUNDS FOR THIRD-ORDER DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS**

# KENNETH S. BERENHAUT<sup> $\text{Cl}$ </sup>, EVA G. GOEDHART<sup>1</sup> and STEVO STEVI $\acute{C}^2$

(Received 30 April, 2005)

#### **[Abstract](http://www.austms.org.au/Publ/ANZIAM/V47P3/2307.html)**

This paper gives explicit, applicable bounds for solutions of a wide class of third-order difference equations with nonconstant coefficients. The techniques used are readily adaptable for higher-order equations. The results extend recent work of the authors for second-order equations.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: 39A10, 39A11. *Keywords and phrases*: explicit bounds, applicable bounds, third-order linear difference equations, growth rates, nonconstant coefficients.

## <span id="page-0-0"></span>**1. Introduction**

This paper studies explicit, applicable growth rates for third-order difference equations. In particular, we will consider solutions  ${b_n} = {b_n(b_0, b_1, b_2)}$  of equations of the form

<span id="page-0-1"></span>
$$
\Delta^3 b_{n-2} = p_n b_n - q_n b_{n-1} + r_n b_{n-2}, \tag{1.1}
$$

where for a sequence  $\{a_i\}$ ,  $\Delta$  is the forward difference operator and  $\Delta a_i = a_{i+1} - a_i$ . That is,

$$
b_{n+1} = (3+p_n)b_n - (3+q_n)b_{n-1} + (1+r_n)b_{n-2}, \tag{1.2}
$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . We provide sharp inequalities for  $\{b_i\}$  in terms of the sequences  $\{p_i\}$ ,  $\{q_i\}$ and  $\{r_i\}$ , and the initial values  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Solutions of difference equations of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wake Forest University, Department of Mathematics, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, USA; e-mail: [berenhks@wfu.edu.](mailto:berenhks@wfu.edu)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mathematical Institute of Serbian Academy of Science, Knez Mihailova 35/I 11000 Beograd, Serbia; e-mail: [sstevic@ptt.yu](mailto:sstevic@ptt.yu) and [sstevo@matf.bg.ac.yu.](mailto:sstevo@matf.bg.ac.yu)

c Australian Mathematical Society 2006, Serial-fee code 1446-8735/06

form in  $(1.1)$  have been studied by many authors (see, for example, [\[2,](#page-6-0) [3,](#page-6-1) [4,](#page-6-2) [5,](#page-6-3) [6,](#page-6-4) [7,](#page-7-1) [8,](#page-7-2) [9,](#page-7-3) [12,](#page-7-4) [10,](#page-7-5) [11\]](#page-7-6)). Often these studies have focused on the understanding of oscillatory or asymptotic behaviour.

In what follows, it will be convenient to have the following notation.

For a sequence  $a = \{a_i\}$ , define the linear operator  $\mathscr L$  by

$$
\mathscr{L}(a)_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_{i+1}a_{i+1} - q_{i+1}a_i + r_{i+1}a_{i-1}, \text{ for } i \ge 1.
$$

We now state our main result which extends recent results for second-order equations (see, for example, [\[1\]](#page-6-5) and [\[13\]](#page-7-7)). Closely related results can also be found in [\[14\]](#page-7-8).

<span id="page-1-0"></span>THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose* {*Bi*}*,* {*pi*}*,* {*qi*} *and* {*ri*} *are real-valued sequences such that*  ${B_i}$  *is positive, nondecreasing and convex, and for each*  $i \geq 2$ *, either* 

 $p_i \ge \max(q_i, 0)$  *and*  $r_i \ge 0$ , *or*  $q_i \le \min(r_i, 0)$  *and*  $p_i \ge 0$ . (1.3)

*In addition, suppose there exist positive constants,*  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  *and*  $c_2$ *, satisfying* 

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
\Delta^2 B_i \ge \begin{cases} c_0 \Delta^2 b_i(1, 0, 0) = \Delta^2 b_i(c_0, 0, 0) \ge 0, \\ c_1 \Delta^2 b_i(0, -1, 0) = \Delta^2 b_i(0, -c_1, 0) \ge 0, \\ c_2 \Delta^2 b_i(0, 0, 1) = \Delta^2 b_i(0, 0, c_2) \ge 0, \end{cases}
$$
(1.4)

*for*  $i = 0, 1, 2$ *. Now, define the sequence*  $\{V(i)\}$  *via* 

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
V(i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Delta^3 B_{i-1} - \mathcal{L}(B)_i, \qquad \text{for } i \ge 1.
$$
 (1.5)

*If*

$$
V(i) \ge 0, \qquad \qquad \text{for } i \ge 3, \tag{1.6}
$$

*then*

<span id="page-1-5"></span><span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
|b_n| \le \left(\frac{|b_0|}{c_0} + \frac{|b_1|}{c_1} + \frac{|b_2|}{c_2}\right) B_n, \quad \text{for } n \ge 3. \tag{1.7}
$$

The key to employing Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is to determine a positive, nondecreasing sequence *B* satisfying  $(1.4)$  and  $(1.6)$ . While this can be done inductively for many  $\{(p_i, q_j, r_j)\}\$ , it is particularly convenient when the third derivative of an extension,  $\tilde{B}$ , to [0,  $\infty$ ) of the bounding sequence *B* exists. The next lemma follows directly from the fact that  $\Delta^3 B_{n-1} = B'''(\zeta)$ , for some  $\zeta \in [n-1, n+2]$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Suppose*  $\tilde{B}^{\prime\prime\prime}$  exists.

<span id="page-1-3"></span>(1) If  $\tilde{B}'''$  is nondecreasing, and for  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $\mathscr{L}(B)_n \le \tilde{B}'''(n-1)$ , then  $V(n) \ge 0$ *for*  $n \geq n_0$ *.* 

(2) If *B*<sup>*m*</sup> is nonincreasing, and for  $n > n_0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(B)_n < \tilde{B}^m(n+2)$ , then  $V(n) > 0$ *for*  $n \geq n_0$ .

It will be helpful to have the following notation, which will be useful when demonstrating that [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1) holds for particular examples.

For given  $\{b_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$ , define *G* and *h* via

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} g_{0,0} & g_{0,1} & g_{0,2} \\ g_{1,0} & g_{1,1} & g_{1,2} \\ g_{2,0} & g_{2,1} & g_{2,2} \end{bmatrix}
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^2 b_0(1,0,0) & \Delta^2 b_1(1,0,0) & \Delta^2 b_2(1,0,0) \\ \Delta^2 b_0(0,-1,0) & \Delta^2 b_1(0,-1,0) & \Delta^2 b_2(0,-1,0) \\ \Delta^2 b_0(0,0,1) & \Delta^2 b_1(0,0,1) & \Delta^2 b_2(0,0,1) \end{bmatrix}
$$

and  $h = (h_0, h_1, h_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\Delta^2 B_0, \Delta^2 B_1, \Delta^2 B_2)$ . Note that [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1) can be rewritten as *h<sub>i</sub>* ≥ *c<sub>j</sub>* $g_{j,i}$  ≥ 0, for 0 ≤ *i*, *j* ≤ 2. In fact, if *h<sub>i</sub>* > 0 and  $g_{j,i}$  > 0, for 0 ≤ *i* ≤ 2, we may take  $c_i = \min_{0 \le i \le 2} \{h_i/g_{i,i}\}.$ 

We now give some examples of applications for Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

EXAMPLE 1 (Power-type rate bounds). Consider  ${B_n}$  defined by  $B_n = n^k$  (with  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ), and note that  $\tilde{B}$  given by  $\tilde{B}(x) = x^k$ , is positive, nondecreasing and convex for  $k \ge 1$ . Taking derivatives gives  $\tilde{B}'''(x) = k(k-1)(k-2)x^{k-3}$  and  $\tilde{B}^{(4)}(x) =$  $k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)x^{k-4}$ , and hence  $\tilde{B}'''$  is nondecreasing for  $1 \le k \le 2$  and  $k > 3$ , and nonincreasing for  $2 \le k \le 3$ .

Now, set  $c = k(k-1)(k-2)$ . Employing Lemma [1.2,](#page-1-3) each of the following satisfy [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2) of Theorem [1.1:](#page-1-0)

(i)  $p \equiv q \equiv 0, k > 3$ , and for  $n > 3$ ,

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
0 \le r_{n+1} \le \frac{c}{(n-1)^3};\tag{1.8}
$$

(ii)  $p \equiv q \equiv 0, k \in [2, 3]$ , and for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$
0 \le r_{n+1} \le \left(\frac{n+2}{n-1}\right)^k \frac{c}{(n+2)^3};\tag{1.9}
$$

(iii)  $q \equiv r \equiv 0, k > 3$ , and for  $n > 3$ ,

$$
0 \le p_{n+1} \le \frac{c(n-1)^{k-3}}{(n+1)^k}; \quad \text{and} \tag{1.10}
$$

(iv)  $q \equiv r \equiv 0, k \in [2, 3]$ , and for  $n > 3$ ,

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
0 \le p_{n+1} \le \frac{c(n+2)^{k-3}}{(n+1)^k} \,. \tag{1.11}
$$

For  $k \geq 2$ , c is nonnegative, and hence the sequences in (i)–(iv) all satisfy [\(1.3\)](#page-1-4). Now, note that (a)  $r_n$  defined by  $r_n = c/n^3$  satisfies both [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.9\)](#page-2-1), and (b)  $p_n$ defined by  $p_n = c/(n + 1)^3$  satisfies [\(1.11\)](#page-2-2). We will consider these two instances in some detail.

(a)  $(r_n = c/n^3)$  That  $r_n = c/n^3$  satisfies [\(1.8\)](#page-2-0) is immediate. To see that the righthand inequality in [\(1.9\)](#page-2-1) also holds, note that

$$
(n+2)^{3-k}(n-1)^k \le (n+2)(n-1)^2 = n^3 - 3n + 2 < (n+1)^3.
$$

Now, employing the formulae in Table [2](#page-5-0) below, we have the values

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + c/8 & 1 + c/8 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 - c/27 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Hence there exist  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$  satisfying [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1), whenever  $0 < c/27 < 2$ , that is,  $2 < k < k_0$ , where  $k_0 \approx 4.867936$ . For example, when  $k = 3$  ( $c = 6$ ), we have  $h = (6, 12, 18)$  and

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7/4 & 7/4 \\ 2 & 2 & 16/9 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Thus, taking ratios as suggested earlier, we may use  $c_0 = c_2 = 6$  and  $c_1 = 3$  in [\(1.7\)](#page-1-5). (b)  $(p_n = c/(n + 1)^3)$  Here we have

$$
p_{n+1} = \frac{c}{(n+2)^3} \le \frac{c}{(n+2)^3} \left(\frac{n+2}{n+1}\right)^k
$$

and [\(1.11\)](#page-2-2) is satisfied. In addition,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1+p_3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2+3p_3 \\ 1 & 1+p_2 & 1+3p_3+p_2+p_3p_2 \end{bmatrix},
$$
(1.12)

and since each entry in [\(1.12\)](#page-3-0) is strictly positive, there exist  $c_0 > 0$ ,  $c_1 > 0$  and  $c_2 > 0$ satisfying [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1), for all  $2 < k \leq 3$ . For example, when  $k = 2.5$  ( $c = 1.875$ ), we have

$$
\mathbf{h} = (4\sqrt{2} - 2, 9\sqrt{3} - 8, 32 - 18\sqrt{3} + 4\sqrt{2})
$$
  
\approx (3.656854248, 5.27474877, 6.479939708).

Hence, employing [\(1.12\)](#page-3-0) with  $p_2 = 5/72 \approx 0.06944444444$  and  $p_3 = 15/512 \approx$ 0.02929687500, we may take  $c_0 = c_2 = 3.65$  and  $c_1 = 1.82$  in [\(1.7\)](#page-1-5).

EXAMPLE 2 (Exponential rate bounds). Consider  $B = \{B_n\}$  and  $\tilde{B}$  defined by  $B_n = ne^n$  and  $\tilde{B}(x) = xe^x$ , respectively. We then have  $\tilde{B}'''(x) = (x + 3)e^x$ , and hence  $\tilde{B}'''$  is nondecreasing. Employing Lemma [1.2,](#page-1-3) each of the following satisfy the requirements of Theorem [1.1:](#page-1-0)

(i)  $p \equiv q \equiv 0$  and for  $n \geq 3$ ,

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
0 \le r_{n+1} \le \frac{n+2}{n-1} \quad \text{and} \tag{1.13}
$$

(ii)  $q \equiv r \equiv 0$ , and for  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$
0 \le p_{n+1} \le \frac{(n+2)e^{-2}}{n+1} \,. \tag{1.14}
$$

As an example of  $r_n$  satisfying [\(1.13\)](#page-4-0), we have  $r_n = \frac{(n+1)}{(n-1)}$ . Here

$$
\mathbf{h} = (2e^2 - 2e, 3e^3 - 4e^2 + e, 4e^4 - 6e^3 + 2e^2) \approx (9.341548544, 33.41866819, 112.6574908),
$$

 $r_2 = 3$ ,  $r_3 = 2$  and

$$
G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} .
$$
 (1.15)

Thus  $c_0 = 8.35$ ,  $c_1 = 4.67$  and  $c_2 = 9.34$  satisfy [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1), and Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is applicable.

We now turn to a proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

## **2. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)**

In this section we will prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Prior to proving Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) we quote the following two tables which we use in the proof of the theorem.





#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>364 Kenneth S. Berenhaut, Eva G. Goedhart and Stevo Stevic [6] ´

Case  $\Delta^2 b_0$   $\Delta^2 b_1$   $\Delta^2 b_2$ 1  $c_0$   $(1 + r_2)c_0$   $(1 + p_3)(1 + r_2)c_0$ 2  $2c_1$   $(2+q_2)c_1$   $(1+p_3)(3+q_2)c_1 - (1+r_3)c_1$ 3  $c_2$   $(1 + p_2)c_2$   $(1 + p_3)(3 + p_2)c_2 - (2 + q_3)c_2$ 

TABLE 2. Second-order differences for {*bi*}.

PROOF OF THEOREM [1.1.](#page-1-0) Suppose  $\{p_i\}$ ,  $\{q_i\}$ ,  $\{r_i\}$ ,  $\{B_i\}$  and  $(c_0, c_1, c_2)$  satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. We will consider three cases for  $\{b_i(b_0, b_1, b_2)\}$ , namely Case 1:  $\{b_i(c_0, 0, 0)\}\)$ , Case 2:  $\{b_i(0, -c_1, 0)\}\$  and Case 3:  $\{b_i(0, 0, c_2)\}\$ . The values in Tables [1](#page-4-1) and [2](#page-5-0) follow directly from [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1).

Now, note that, for each case,  $b_2 \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta b_1 \geq 0$ , and by [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1),  $\Delta^2 b_i \geq 0$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Also, for  $n \ge 2$ , expanding  $b_{n+1}$  via [\(1.2\)](#page-0-1) and simplifying, gives

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
\Delta^2 b_{n-1} = b_{n+1} - 2b_n + b_{n-1} = \Delta^2 b_{n-2} + \mathcal{L}(b)_{n-1}.
$$
 (2.1)

Assuming that  $\Delta^2 b_i \geq 0$  for  $i < N-1$ , gives  $b_i \geq 0$  for  $2 \leq i < N+1$  and  $\Delta b_i \geq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . Hence [\(1.3\)](#page-1-4) implies that either

$$
\mathcal{L}(b)_{N-1} = p_N b_N - q_N b_{N-1} + r_N b_{N-2} \ge (p_N - q_N) b_{N-1} + r_N b_{N-2} \ge 0
$$

or  $\mathcal{L}(b)_{N-1} \geq p_N b_N + (-q_N + r_N) b_{N-2} \geq 0$ . Thus, combining this with the induction hypothesis and [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1) gives  $\Delta^2 b_{N-1} \ge 0$ , and the induction is complete. In particular, we have  $\Delta b_i \geq 0$  for  $i \geq 1$  and  $b_i \geq 0$ , for  $i \geq 2$ .

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Now, for  $i \geq 0$ , define  $\epsilon_i$  by  $\epsilon_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} B_i - b_i$ . The values of  $\epsilon_i$ , for the first few *i*, are given in Table [3.](#page-5-2)

TABLE 3. Values for  $\{\epsilon_i\}$ .

Case	E٥	$\epsilon_1$	$\epsilon$
	$-c_0$		
	$B_0$	$B_1 + c_1$	B,
			$-c2$

We will show that  $\epsilon_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \geq 3$ ; the result in [\(1.7\)](#page-1-5) then follows, since for general  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ , we then have

$$
|b_n(b_0, b_1, b_2)| = \left| \frac{b_0}{c_0} b_n(c_0, 0, 0) - \frac{b_1}{c_1} b_n(0, -c_1, 0) + \frac{b_2}{c_2} b_n(0, 0, c_2) \right|
$$
  
 
$$
\leq \frac{|b_0|}{c_0} B_n + \frac{|b_1|}{c_1} B_n + \frac{|b_2|}{c_2} B_n.
$$

Note that [\(1.4\)](#page-1-1) guarantees that  $\Delta^2 \epsilon_i \geq 0$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and the assumptions on *B* give  $\Delta \epsilon_0 > 0$  and  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  (see Table [3\)](#page-5-2). Now, assume  $\Delta^2 \epsilon_n \geq 0$ , for  $n < N$ . It then follows immediately that

<span id="page-6-7"></span>
$$
\epsilon_n \ge \epsilon_{n-1} \ge 0,\tag{2.2}
$$

for  $1 \le n \le N + 2$ . Hence we have

$$
\Delta^{2}\epsilon_{N} = \Delta^{2}B_{N} - \Delta^{2}b_{N}
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta^{3}B_{N-1} + \Delta^{2}B_{N-1} - \Delta^{2}b_{N}
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta^{3}B_{N-1} + \Delta^{2}B_{N-1} - b_{N+2} + 2b_{N+1} - b_{N}
$$
\n
$$
= \Delta^{3}B_{N-1} + \Delta^{2}B_{N-1} - ((3 + p_{N+1})b_{N+1}) - (3 + q_{N+1})b_{N} + (1 + r_{N+1})b_{N-1}) + 2b_{N+1} - b_{N}
$$
\n
$$
= (\Delta^{3}B_{N-1} - p_{N+1}B_{N+1} + q_{N+1}B_{N} - r_{N+1}B_{N-1}) + p_{N+1}\epsilon_{N+1} - q_{N+1}\epsilon_{N} + r_{N+1}\epsilon_{N-1} + (\Delta^{2}B_{N-1} - \Delta^{2}b_{N-1})
$$
\n
$$
\geq V(N) + \Delta^{2}\epsilon_{N-1}
$$
\n
$$
\geq 0.
$$
\n(2.3)

The second to last inequality in  $(2.3)$  follows from  $(2.2)$  and  $(1.3)$ . The final inequality follows from [\(1.6\)](#page-1-2) and the induction hypothesis. Thus  $\{\epsilon_i\}$  is positive (and convex), and as mentioned, [\(1.7\)](#page-1-5) now follows.  $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-6-6"></span>**Acknowledgements**

The first author acknowledges financial support from a Sterge Faculty Fellowship.

### **References**

- <span id="page-6-5"></span>[1] K. S. Berenhaut and E. G. Goedhart, "Explicit bounds for second-order difference equations and a solution to a question of Stević", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **305** (2005) 1-10.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>[2] Z. Došlá and A. Kobza, "Global asymptotic properties of third-order difference equations", *Comput. Math. Appl.* **48** (2004) 191–200.
- <span id="page-6-1"></span>[3] J. Henderson and A. Peterson, "Disconjugacy for a third-order linear difference equation", Advances in difference equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **28** (1994) 131–139.
- <span id="page-6-2"></span>[4] H. A. Hussein, "An explicit solution of third-order difference equations", *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **54** (1994) 307–311.
- <span id="page-6-3"></span>[5] R. K. Mallik, "On the solution of a third-order linear homogeneous difference equation with variable coefficients", *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **4** (1998) 501–521.
- <span id="page-6-4"></span>[6] N. Parhi and A. K. Tripathy, "On oscillatory third-order difference equations", *J. Differ. Equations Appl.* **6** (2000) 53–74.
- <span id="page-7-2"></span><span id="page-7-1"></span>[7] J. Popenda and E. Schmeidel, "Nonoscillatory solutions of third-order difference equations", *Portugal. Math.* **49** (1992) 233–239.
- [8] B. Smith, "Quasi-adjoint third-order difference equations: oscillatory and asymptotic behavior", *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **9** (1986) 781–784.
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>[9] B. Smith, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior in certain third order difference equations", *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **17** (1987) 597–606.
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>[10] B. Smith, "Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for third-order quasi-adjoint difference equations", *Portugal. Math.* **45** (1988) 229–243.
- <span id="page-7-6"></span><span id="page-7-4"></span>[11] B. Smith, "Linear third-order difference equations: oscillatory and asymptotic behavior", *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **22** (1992) 1559–1564.
- [12] B. Smith and W. E. Taylor, Jr., "Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a third-order difference equation", *Portugal. Math.* **44** (1987) 113–117.
- <span id="page-7-8"></span><span id="page-7-7"></span>[13] S. Stevic, "Asymptotic behavior of second-order difference equations", ´ *ANZIAM J.* **46** (2005) 157–170.
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>[14] S. Stevic, "Growth estimates for solutions of nonlinear second-order difference equations", ´ *ANZIAM J.* **46** (2005) 439–448.