

# THE EXPONENTIAL REPRESENTATION OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF UNIFORMLY BOUNDED TYPE

THAI THUAN QUANG

(Received 4 September 2002; revised 26 February 2003)

Communicated by A. J. Pryde

## Abstract

It is shown that if  $E, F$  are Fréchet spaces,  $E \in (H_{ub}), F \in (DN)$  then  $H(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$  holds. Using this result we prove that a Fréchet space  $E$  is nuclear and has the property  $(H_{ub})$  if and only if every entire function on  $E$  with values in a Fréchet space  $F \in (DN)$  can be represented in the exponential form. Moreover, it is also shown that if  $H(F^*)$  has a LAERS and  $E \in (H_{ub})$  then  $H(E \times F^*)$  has a LAERS, where  $E, F$  are nuclear Fréchet spaces,  $F^*$  has an absolute basis, and conversely, if  $H(E \times F^*)$  has a LAERS and  $F \in (DN)$  then  $E \in (H_{ub})$ .

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 32A10, 32B10, 46A63, 46E50.

*Keywords and phrases*: holomorphic functions, holomorphic functions of uniform bounded type, topological linear invariants, exponential representation.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be locally convex spaces. A holomorphic function  $f$  from  $E$  to  $F$  is said to be of *uniformly bounded type* if there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0 \in E$  such that  $f(rU)$  is bounded for all  $r > 0$ . By  $H_{ub}(E, F)$  we denote the linear subspace of the space of holomorphic functions from  $E$  to  $F$ , consisting of all functions of uniformly bounded type. We write  $H_{ub}(E)$  rather than  $H_{ub}(E, \mathbb{C})$ . We say that a locally convex space  $E$  has the *property*  $(H_{ub})$  (and write  $E \in (H_{ub})$ ) if the identity  $H(E) = H_{ub}(E)$  holds.

Recently Le Mau Hai and Thai Thuan Quang [3] have shown that  $H_b(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$  for Fréchet spaces  $E, F$  and  $E \in (H_{ub}), F \in (\overline{DN})$ .

In Section 3, we extend the above result to the more general case. Namely, the property  $(\overline{DN})$  of the space  $F$  is replaced by the property  $(DN)$  (Theorem 3.1).

By using Theorem 3.1, in Section 4 we prove that a Fréchet space  $E$  is nuclear and  $E \in (H_{ub})$  if and only if every entire function on  $E$  with values in a Fréchet space  $F \in (DN)$  can be represented in the exponential form (Theorem 4.1). The final portion of this section will deal with the exponential representation of holomorphic functions on  $E \times F^*$ , where  $E$  is Fréchet,  $E \in (H_{ub})$  and  $F$  is nuclear Fréchet such that  $F^*$  has an absolute basis and  $H(F^*)$  has a linearly absolutely exponential representation system (Theorem 4.2). The proof is based on Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and Proposition 4.3 which say that if  $F$  is a Fréchet space such that  $F^*$  has an absolute basis then  $F \in (DN)$  if and only if  $H(F^*) \in (DN)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

We may frequently use the standard notation of the theory of locally convex spaces as presented in the book of Pietsch [9]. A locally convex space always is a complex vector space with a locally convex Hausdorff topology.

For a Fréchet space  $E$ , we always assume that its locally convex structure is generated by an increasing system  $\{\|\cdot\|_k\}$  of semi-norms. Then we denote by  $E_k$  the completion of the canonically normed space  $E/\ker\|\cdot\|_k$  and  $\omega_k : E \rightarrow E_k$  denotes the canonical map and  $U_k$  denotes the set  $\{x \in E : \|x\|_k < 1\}$ .

**2.1. Holomorphic function** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be locally convex spaces and let  $D \subset E$  be open,  $D \neq \emptyset$ . A function  $f : D \rightarrow F$  is called *holomorphic* if  $f$  is continuous and Gâteaux-analytic. By  $H(D, F)$  we denote the vector space of all holomorphic functions on  $D$  with values in  $F$ . We use  $H_b(E, F)$  to denote the space of holomorphic functions from  $E$  to  $F$  which are bounded on every bounded set in  $E$ . The space  $H_b(E, F)$  is equipped with the topology  $\tau_b$  of uniform convergence on all bounded sets.

**2.2. The property (DN)** Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space with a fundamental system of semi-norms  $\{\|\cdot\|_k\}$ . We say that  $E$  has the *property (DN)* if

$$\exists p \exists d \forall q \exists k, C > 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \|\cdot\|_q^{1+d} \leq C \|\cdot\|_k \|\cdot\|_p^d.$$

**2.3. Separately holomorphic function** Let  $E, F$  be locally convex spaces. For a function  $f : E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(y) &= f(x, y) \quad \text{for } y \in F; \\ f_y(x) &= f(x, y) \quad \text{for } x \in E. \end{aligned}$$

The function  $f$  is called *separately holomorphic* if  $f_x : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $f_y : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are holomorphic for all  $x \in E$  and  $y \in F$  respectively.

**2.4. Linearly absolutely exponential representation system** Let  $E$  be a locally convex space and  $\{x_k\}$  be a sequence in  $E$ . We say that  $\{x_k\}$  is a *linearly absolute representation system* (abbreviated LARS) if every element  $x \in E$  can be written in the form  $x = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k(x)x_k$ , where the series is absolutely convergent.

A LARS in  $H(D)$  of the form  $\{\exp u_k\}$ , where  $u_k$  are continuous linear functionals on  $E$  and  $D$  is an open set in  $E$ , is said to be a linearly absolutely exponential representation system of  $H(D)$ . It is denoted by LAERS.

### 3. Holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type

In this section we prove the following theorem which was proved in [3] by Le Mau Hai and Thai Thuan Quang in the case when  $F \in (\overline{DN})$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space. Then  $E \in (H_{ub})$  if and only if*

$$H(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$$

for all Fréchet spaces  $F \in (DN)$ .

**PROOF.** 1. *Necessary.* Since  $F \in (DN)$ , by Vogt [13]  $F$  can be considered as a subspace of the space  $B\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$  for some Banach space, where  $s$  denotes the space of rapidly decreasing sequences.

On the other hand,  $B\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s$  is a subspace of  $H_b((B\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s)'_b)$  and  $H_b((B\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s)'_b) \cong H_b(B'\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s')$ .

Given  $f \in H(E, F) \subset H(E, H_b(B'\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s'))$ , we write the Taylor expansion of  $f(z)$  at  $0 \in B'\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s'$  for  $z \in E$

$$f(z)(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1}} \widehat{P_n f(z)}(u_{k_1} \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_{k_n} \otimes e_{j_n}^*),$$

where

$$t = \sum_{k \geq 1} u_k \otimes v_k \in B'\widehat{\otimes}_\pi s', \quad P_n f(z)(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|q|=r>0} \frac{f(z)(qt)}{q^{n+1}} dq,$$

and  $\{e_j\}$  is the canonical basis of  $s$  with the dual basis  $\{e_j^*\}$ ,  $\widehat{P_n f}$  is the symmetric  $n$ -linear form associated to  $P_n f$ .

Since  $\{e_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  is an absolute basis, for  $p \geq 1$ , choose  $q \geq p$  such that

$$\sum_{j \geq 1} \|e_j^*\|_q^* \|e_j\|_p < \frac{1}{(p+1)e^2},$$

where  $\|e_j^*\|_q^* = \sup\{|e_j^*(x)|, \|x\|_q \leq 1\} = 1/j^q$ .

For each  $p \geq 1$ , consider a family  $\mathcal{F}_p = \{f_{p,n,u_1,\dots,u_n}\}_{n \geq 0} \subset H_b(E)$  given by

$$f_{p,n,u_1,\dots,u_n}(z) = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n \geq 1} p^n \widehat{P_n f}(z)(u_1 \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_n \otimes e_{j_n}^*) \|e_{j_1}\|_p \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p$$

where  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in W$ , the unit ball of  $B'$ .

Then for each  $p \geq 1$ , the family  $\mathcal{F}_p$  is bounded in  $H_b(E)$ . Indeed, for every bounded set  $K$  in  $E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{\substack{u_1, \dots, u_n \in W \\ n \geq 0}} \left\{ p^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} \left| \widehat{P_n f}(z)(u_1 \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_n \otimes e_{j_n}^*) \right| \|e_{j_1}\|_p \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \right\} \\ &= \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ u_1, \dots, u_n \in W}} \left\{ p^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} \left| \widehat{P_n f}(z) \left( u_1 \otimes \frac{e_{j_1}^*}{\|e_{j_1}^*\|_q^*}, \dots, u_n \otimes \frac{e_{j_n}^*}{\|e_{j_n}^*\|_q^*} \right) \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \|e_{j_1}\|_p \|e_{j_1}^*\|_q^* \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \|e_{j_n}^*\|_q^* \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{t \in \text{conv}(W \otimes U_q^o)} |f(z)(t)| \left\{ \sup_{n \geq 0} \frac{n^n p^n}{n!} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} \|e_{j_1}\|_p \|e_{j_1}^*\|_q^* \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \|e_{j_n}^*\|_q^* \right\} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{K \times \text{conv}(W \otimes U_q^o)} \sup_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \left( \frac{np}{(p+1)e^2} \right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \right\} \\ &\leq C_p \|f\|_{K \times \text{conv}(W \otimes U_q^o)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U_q = \{x \in s : \|x\|_q < 1\} \quad \text{with the polar } U_q^o,$$

$$C_p = \sup_{n \geq 0} \left\{ \left( \frac{np}{(p+1)e^2} \right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \right\}.$$

Since  $E \in (H_{ub})$ , by Meise and Vogt [5], there exists  $\alpha \geq 1$  such that the family  $\mathcal{F}_p$  is bounded in  $H_b(E_\alpha)$ .

However, for every bounded set  $K \subset E_\alpha$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , we have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{t \in \text{conv}(W \otimes U_q^o)} \sum_{n \geq 0} |P_n f(z)(t)| \\ & \leq \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{\substack{u_{k_1}, \dots, u_{k_n} \in W \\ \sum_{k \geq 1} |\lambda_k| \leq 1}} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} |\lambda_{k_1}| \cdots |\lambda_{k_n}| \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} p^n \left| \widehat{P_n f}(z)(u_{k_1} \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_{k_n} \otimes e_{j_n}^*) \right| \|e_{j_1}\|_p \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{\substack{u_1, \dots, u_n \in W \\ n \geq 0}} \left\{ p^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} \left| \widehat{P_n f(z)}(u_1 \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_n \otimes e_{j_n}^*) \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \|e_{j_1}\|_p \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \right\} \sup_{\sum_{k \geq 1} |\lambda_k| \leq 1} \left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^n} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1} |\lambda_{k_1}| \cdots |\lambda_{k_n}| \right\} \\ &\leq C_K \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{p^n} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_K = \sup_{z \in K} \sup_{\substack{u_1, \dots, u_n \in W \\ n \geq 0}} \left\{ p^n \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} \left| \widehat{P_n f(z)}(u_{k_1} \otimes e_{j_1}^*, \dots, u_{k_n} \otimes e_{j_n}^*) \right| \right. \\ \left. \times \|e_{j_1}\|_p \cdots \|e_{j_n}\|_p \right\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$(3.2) \quad g(z, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n f(z)(t)$$

with  $z \in E_\alpha, t \in (B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi S)'$ .

From (3.1), it follows that the right-hand side of (3.2) converges and defines a separately holomorphic function on  $E_\alpha \times (B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi S)'$ .

It is easy to see that  $g$  is bounded on every bounded set on  $(B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi S)'$ . By Galindo, Garcia, Maestre [1] the holomorphic function of bounded type

$$\widehat{g}: (B \widehat{\otimes}_\pi S)' \rightarrow H_b(E_\alpha)$$

which is induced by  $g$ , can be factorized through a Banach space by an entire function of bounded type. Because every ball in a Banach space is bounded we infer  $f \in H_{ub}(E, F)$ .

2. *Sufficient.* In the case  $F = \mathbb{C}$ , by hypothesis we obtain  $E \in (H_{ub})$ .

The theorem is proved. □

### 4. The exponential representation

First we recall that a locally convex space  $E$  has the property  $(H_u)$  and write  $E \in (H_u)$  if every holomorphic function  $f$  on  $E$  is of uniform type. This means that there exists a continuous semi-norm  $\varrho$  on  $E$  such that  $f$  can be factorized holomorphically through the canonical map  $\omega_\varrho: E \rightarrow E_\varrho$ , where  $E_\varrho$  denote the space associated to  $\varrho$ .

In [7] Nguyen Minh Ha and Nguyen Van Khue proved that a Fréchet space  $E$  is nuclear and  $E \in (H_u)$  if and only if every holomorphic function on  $E$  with values in a Banach space  $B$  can be written in the form  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp u_k(x)$ , where the series is absolutely convergent in the space  $H(E, B)$  of holomorphic functions on  $E$  with values in  $B$  equipped with the compact-open topology.

In this section we shall consider the above result in another situation with the note that if  $E \in (H_{ub})$  then  $E \in (H_u)$  and if  $F$  is Banach then  $F \in (DN)$ . Namely, we are going to prove the following:

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $E$  be a Fréchet space. Then  $E$  is nuclear and  $E \in (H_{ub})$  if and only if every holomorphic function on  $E$  with values in a Fréchet space  $F \in (DN)$  can be written in the form  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp u_k(x)$ , where the sequences  $(\xi_k) \subset F$ ,  $(u_k) \subset E^*$ , the dual space of  $E$ , and the series is absolutely convergent in the space  $H_b(E, F)$ .*

**PROOF.** First we prove sufficiency of the theorem.

Let  $\{p_\alpha\}$  be a fundamental system of semi-norms on  $E$ . To prove the nuclearity of  $E$ , for every continuous semi-norm  $\rho$  on  $E$  write the canonical map  $\omega_\rho : E \rightarrow E_\rho$  in the form  $\omega_\rho(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp u_k(x)$  in which  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_B^* < \infty$  for every bounded set  $B$  in  $E$ . This follows from the hypothesis and the property  $(DN)$  of the space Banach  $E_\rho$ . Then

$$\omega_\rho(x) = d\omega_\rho(0)(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k u_k(x)$$

for  $x \in E$  and  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \|u_k\|_B^* < \infty$  for every bounded set  $B$  in  $E$ .

Now we prove that there exists a continuous semi-norm  $\beta > \rho$  in  $E$  such that

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_\beta^* < \infty.$$

Indeed, if this does not hold, for every  $\alpha$  we have  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_\alpha^* = \infty$ . Hence for every  $\alpha$  there exists  $k_\alpha$  such that  $\sum_{k \leq k_\alpha} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_\alpha^* > \alpha$ . This inequality implies that for each  $k \leq k_\alpha$  there exists  $x_k^\alpha$  with  $\|x_k^\alpha\|_\alpha \leq 1$  such that

$$\sum_{k \leq k_\alpha} \|\xi_k\| \exp |u_k(x_k^\alpha)| > \alpha.$$

Put  $B = \{x_1^1, \dots, x_{k_1}^1, \dots, x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_{k_\alpha}^\alpha, \dots\} \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $B$  is bounded in  $E$  and

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_B^* > \alpha \quad \text{for every } \alpha \geq 1.$$

This is impossible, because  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \exp \|u_k\|_B^* < \infty$ .

By the same argument as above, there exists a continuous semi-norm  $\beta > \rho$  in  $E$  such that  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \|\xi_k\| \|u_k\|_\beta^* < \infty$ . This means that the canonical map  $\omega_{\beta\rho} : E_\beta \rightarrow E_\rho$  is nuclear. Hence  $E$  is nuclear.

Now, since  $E$  is nuclear, to prove  $E \in (H_{ub})$  by [4] it suffices to show that if  $E$  is a topological subspace of a locally convex space  $G$  with a fundamental system of continuous semi-norm induced by semi-inner products then every  $f \in H(E)$  has an extension  $g \in H(G)$ .

Given  $f \in H(E, \mathbb{C}) = H(E)$ , by the hypothesis, we can write

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp u_k(x)$$

such that  $\sum_{k \geq 1} |\xi_k| \exp |u_k(x)| < \infty$ . Applying the Hahn-Banach theorem to  $u_k \in E^*$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , there exist  $\hat{u}_k \in G^*$  such that  $\hat{u}_k|_E = u_k$  and  $\|\hat{u}_k\|^* = \|u_k\|^*$ , for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then the function  $g(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp \hat{u}_k(x)$  defines a holomorphic function on  $G$  and  $g|_E = f$ .

Now, assume that  $E$  is nuclear and  $E \in (H_{ub})$ . By Theorem 3.1, we have  $H(E, F) = H_{ub}(E, F)$ . Then every  $f \in H(E, F)$  is of uniform type. It implies that there exists a continuous semi-norm  $\rho$  on  $E$  and a holomorphic function  $g$  on  $E_\rho$  such that  $f = g\omega_\rho$ . Take a continuous semi-norm  $\beta > \rho$  on  $E$  such that  $T = \omega_{\beta\rho}$  is nuclear. Write

$$T(x) = \sum_{j \geq 1} t_j u_j(x) e_j$$

with  $a = \sum_{j \geq 1} |t_j| < \infty$  and  $\|u_j^*\| < 1$ ,  $\|e_j\| < 1$ ,  $e_j \in E_\rho$ ,  $u_j \in E_\beta^*$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Consider the Taylor expansion of  $g$  at  $0 \in E$

$$g(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g(x),$$

where

$$P_n g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=r} \frac{g(tx)}{t^{n+1}} dt.$$

Choose two sequences  $\{\xi_k\}$  and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad z = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp(\alpha_k z)$$

for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and

$$(4.2) \quad C_r = \sum_{k \geq 1} |\xi_k| \exp(r|\alpha_k|) < \infty$$

for all  $r > 0$ . Such sequences exist by [2]. Formally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (gT)(x) &= g(Tx) = \sum_{ng \neq 0} P_n g(Tx) = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_n g \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} t_j u_j(x) e_j \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} t_{j_1} \cdots t_{j_n} u_{j_1}(x) \cdots u_{j_n}(x) \widehat{P}_n g(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1} t_{j_1} \cdots t_{j_n} \widehat{P}_n g(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) \\
 &\quad \times \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp \alpha_k u_{j_1}(x) \right) \cdots \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp \alpha_k u_{j_n}(x) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1}} t_{j_1} \cdots t_{j_n} \xi_{k_1} \cdots \xi_{k_n} \widehat{P}_n g(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}) \\
 &\quad \times \exp[\alpha_{k_1} u_{j_1}(x) + \cdots + \alpha_{k_n} u_{j_n}(x)]
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\widehat{P}_n g$  is the symmetric  $n$ -linear form associated to  $P_n g$ .

It remains to check that the right-hand side is absolutely convergent in  $H(E, F)$ .

For each  $r > 0$ , take  $s > C_r a e$ . Since

$$\|P_n g(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})\|_q \leq \left( \frac{n^n}{n! s^n} \right) \|g\|_{s,q}$$

where

$$\|g\|_{s,q} = \sup\{\|g(x)\|_q : \|x\| < s\}$$

and without loss generality by the nuclearity of  $E$ , we may assume that  $g$  is bounded on every bounded set in  $E_q$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|g(Tx)\|_q &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_n \geq 1 \\ k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 1}} |t_{j_1}| \cdots |t_{j_n}| |\xi_{k_1}| \cdots |\xi_{k_n}| \\
 &\quad \times \|\widehat{P}_n g(e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n})\|_q \exp[r(|\alpha_{k_1}| + \cdots + |\alpha_{k_n}|)] \\
 &\leq \|g\|_{s,q} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{C_r^n a^n n^n}{n! s^n} < \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $\|x\| < r$ .

The theorem is proved. □

In order to complete this section we will prove the following:

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be nuclear Fréchet spaces such that  $F^*$  has an absolute basis. Then*



- (i)  $H(E \times F^*)$  has a LAERS if  $H(F^*)$  has a LAERS and  $E \in (H_{ub})$ ;
- (ii) conversely, if  $H(E \times F^*)$  has a LAERS and  $F \in (DN)$  then  $E \in (H_{ub})$ .

The proof is based on Theorem 3.1 and the following:

**PROPOSITION 4.3.** *Let  $F$  be a Fréchet space such that  $F^*$  has an absolute basis. Then  $F \in (DN)$  if and only if  $H(F^*) \in (DN)$ .*

**PROOF.** Sufficiency is obvious because  $F$  can be considered as a subspace of  $H(F^*)$ .

The proof of necessary condition is based on the results of Ryan [10] which introduces a convenient system of semi-norms defining the topology of  $H(F^*)$ .

Assume that  $F \in (DN)$  such that  $F^*$  has an absolute basis  $\{e_j^*\}$ . By the open mapping theorem, the topology of  $F$  can be defined by the system of semi-norms

$$\|x\|_k = \sum_{j \geq 1} |e_j^*(x)| \|e_j\|_k,$$

where  $\{e_j\}_j$  is the sequence of coefficient functionals associated to  $\{e_j^*\}$ . Choose  $p \geq 1$  such that  $(DN)$  holds. Since  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is a norm, we have  $\|e_j\|_p \neq 0$ , for  $j \geq 1$ . Hence

$$\|e_j^*\|_q^* \leq \|e_j^*\|_p^* \leq \frac{1}{\|e_j\|_p} < \infty, \quad \forall j \geq 1, \forall q \geq p.$$

Moreover, by the definition of  $(DN)$  and by the equality

$$\|e_j^*\|_q^* = \frac{1}{\|e_j\|_q}, \quad \forall j \geq 1,$$

there exists  $d$  such that for every  $q$  there exist  $k, C > 0$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad \|e_j^*\|_q^{*1+d} \geq C \|e_j^*\|_k^* \|e_j^*\|_p^{*d} \quad \forall j \geq 1.$$

For each  $k \geq 1$ , put

$$F^*(k) = \left\{ x^* \in F^* : \|x^*\|_k^* = \sum_{j \geq 1} |e_j^*(x)| \|e_j^*\|_k^* < \infty \right\}.$$

It is easy to check that  $F^*(k)$  is a Banach space and  $\{e_j^*\}$  is also an absolute basis for  $F^*(k)$ . On the other hand, since  $F^* = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F^*(k)$  and every bounded set in  $F^*$  is contained and bounded in some  $F^*(k)$ , we conclude that the topology of  $H(F^*)$  can be defined by the system of semi-norms  $\{\|\cdot\|_{k,r} : k \geq 1, r > 0\}$ , where

$$\|f\|_{k,r} = \sup\{\|f(x^*)\| : \|x^*\|_k \leq r\}.$$

By applying results of Ryan [10], we obtain, for every  $f \in H(F^*)$ , the representation

$$f(x^*) = f\left(\sum_{j \geq 1} t_j e_j^*\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{M}} b_m(f) t^m$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly on every bounded set in  $F^*$ , where

$$\mathbf{M} = \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, 0, \dots), m_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, 2, \dots\},$$

$$b_m(f) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^n \int_{|t_1|=\rho_1} \dots \int_{|t_n|=\rho_n} \frac{f(t_1 e_1^* + \dots + t_n e_n^*)}{t_1^{m_1+1} \dots t_n^{m_n+1}} dt$$

with  $dt = dt_1 \dots dt_n$ .

Since for every  $k \geq p$  the map

$$\varphi_k : \ell^1 \rightarrow F^*(k)$$

$$(\xi_j) \mapsto \sum_{j \geq 1} \xi_j \frac{e_j^*}{\|e_j^*\|_k^*}$$

is an isomorphism, again by Ryan [10], the system  $\{\|\cdot\|_{k,r} : k \geq p, r > 0\}$  is equivalent to the system of semi-norms  $\{\|\cdot\|_{k,r} : k \geq p, r > 0\}$  where

$$\|f\|_{k,r} = \sup \left\{ \frac{r^{|m|} |b_m(f)| m^m}{a_{\cdot,k}^m |m|^{|m|}}, m \in \mathbf{M} \right\}$$

and  $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n$ ;  $a_{\cdot,k}^m = \|e_1^*\|_k^{*m_1} \dots \|e_n^*\|_k^{*m_n}$ ,  $m^m = m_1^{m_1} \dots m_n^{m_n}$ .

From (4.3) we get

$$\| \cdot \|_{q,r}^{1+d} \leq \sup \left\{ \left[ \frac{r^{|m|} |b_m(\cdot)| m^m}{a_{\cdot,q}^m |m|^{|m|}} \right]^{1+d}, m \in \mathbf{M} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \left( \frac{r^{1+d}}{C} \right)^{|m|} \frac{|b_m(\cdot)| m^m}{a_{\cdot,k}^m |m|^{|m|}}, m \in \mathbf{M} \right\} \sup \left\{ \left[ \frac{|b_m(\cdot)| m^m}{a_{\cdot,p}^m |m|^{|m|}} \right]^d, m \in \mathbf{M} \right\}$$

$$= \| \cdot \|_{k,r^{1+d}/C} \cdot \| \cdot \|_{p,1}^d$$

Hence  $H(F^*) \in (DN)$ . The proposition is proved. □

Now we prove Theorem 4.2.

(i) First note that  $F$  is reflexive, that is,  $F^{**} = F$ , because it is a Fréchet nuclear space. Since  $F$  is nuclear and  $H(F^*)$  has LAERS, by [7],  $F \in (DN)$ . According to Proposition 4.3, we have  $H(F^*) \in (DN)$ . Because  $E$  is nuclear and  $H(E \times F^*) \cong H(E, H(F^*))$ , it follows from Theorem 4.1 that every  $f \in H(E, H(F^*))$  can be

written in the form  $f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \xi_k \exp u_k(x)$ , where  $(\xi_k) \subset H(F^*)$  and the series is absolutely convergent in the  $H(E, H(F^*))$ .

Moreover, since  $H(F^*)$  has a LAERS, every  $\xi_k \in H(F^*)$  can be also written in the form

$$\xi_k(y^*) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \eta_{j,k} \exp v_j(y^*), \quad \forall k \geq 1,$$

where  $(\eta_{j,k}) \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $v_j \in F^{**} = F$ ,  $j \geq 1$  and the series is absolutely convergent in the  $H(F^*)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y^*) &= \sum_{k, j \geq 1} \eta_{j,k} \exp v_j(y^*) \exp u_k(x) \\ &= \sum_{k, j \geq 1} \eta_{j,k} \exp [\langle u_k, x \rangle + \langle v_j, y^* \rangle] \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sum_{k, j \geq 1} |\eta_{j,k}| \exp [\|u_k\|_K^* + \|v_j\|_L^*] < \infty$  for every compact set  $K \subset E$ ,  $L \subset F^*$ .

(ii) It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1.

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**REMARK 4.4.** Recently, Phan Thien Danh and Duong Luong Son [8] have proved that every separately holomorphic function on an open subset  $U \times V$  of  $E \times F^*$ , where  $E \in (\tilde{\Omega})$  is a Fréchet nuclear space having a basis, has a local Dirichlet representation if and only if  $F \in (DN)$  for every Fréchet nuclear space  $F$ .

## Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for his helpful remarks and comments.

## References

- [1] P. Galindo, D. Garcia and M. Maestre, 'Entire function of bounded type on Fréchet spaces', *Math. Nachr.* **161** (1993), 185–198.
- [2] Yu. F. Korobeinik, 'Representation system', *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **36** (1981), 73–129.
- [3] Le Mau Hai and Thai Thuan Quang, 'Linear topological invariants and Fréchet valued holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on Fréchet spaces', *Publ. CFCA* **2** (1998), 23–41.
- [4] R. Meise and D. Vogt, 'Extension of entire functions on nuclear locally convex spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92** (1984), 495–500.
- [5] ———, 'Holomorphic functions of uniformly bounded type on nuclear Fréchet spaces', *Studia Math.* **83** (1986), 147–166.
- [6] Nguyen Minh Ha and Nguyen Van Khue, 'The property  $(H_u)$  and  $(\tilde{\Omega})$  with the exponential representation of holomorphic functions', *Publ. Mat.* **38** (1994), 37–49.

- [7] ———, ‘The property  $(DN)$  and the exponential representation of holomorphic functions’, *Monatsh. Math.* **120** (1995), 281–288.
- [8] Phan Thien Danh and Duong Luong Son, ‘Separately holomorphic functions, local Dirichlet representation and the property  $(DN)$ ’, *Publ. CFCA* **1** (1997), 21–30.
- [9] A. Pietsch, *Nuclear locally convex spaces* (Springer, New York, 1971).
- [10] R. Ryan, ‘Holomorphic mappings on  $\ell^1$ ’, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **302** (1987), 797–811.
- [11] D. Vogt, ‘Eine Charakterisierung der Potenzreihenräume von endlichem Typ und ihre Folgerungen’, *Manuscripta Math.* **37** (1982), 269–301.
- [12] ———, ‘Frécheträume, zwischen denen jede stetige lineare Abbildung beschränkt ist’, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **345** (1983), 182–200.
- [13] ———, ‘On two classes of  $(F)$ -spaces’, *Arch. Math.* **45** (1985), 255–266.

Department of Mathematics  
Pedagogical Institute of Quynhon  
170 An Duong Vuong, Quynhon, Binhdin  
Vietnam  
e-mail: [tthuanquang@hotmail.com](mailto:tthuanquang@hotmail.com)